Available online at www.mchandaids.org

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL of
MATERNAL and CHILD HEALTH and AIDS
ISSN 2161-864X (Online)
ISSN 2161-8674 (Print)
DOI: 10.21106/ijma.387

ORIGINAL ARTICLE | CAFFEINE AND CHILDHOOD OBESITY

Caffeine Intake During Pregnancy and Risk of Childhood Obesity: A Systematic Review

Natalie C Frayer, RDN¹ and Yeonsoo Kim, PhD, RDN²✉

¹Nutrition and Dietetics Program, Central Michigan University, 1200 S. Franklin St. Mount Pleasant, MI 48859, USA; ²Nutrition and Dietetics Program, Central Michigan University, 207 Wightman Hall 1202 S. Washington St. Mount Pleasant, MI 48859, USA

✉Corresponding author email: kim7y@cmich.edu

ABSTRACT

Objective: This paper evaluates the association between caffeine consumption during pregnancy and overweight or obesity in the offspring.

Methods: Following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, a literature search was conducted using MedLine, PubMed, CINAHL-Plus and Google Scholar databases. Inclusion criteria were cohort studies on participants with live singleton births at ≥ 28 weeks gestation who had consumed caffeine during pregnancy. Included were studies reporting both measurement of maternal caffeine intake and offspring anthropometric measurements. Studies reporting serum paraxanthine, a measurement of caffeine intake, were also included.

Results: After final elimination, there were eight studies meeting our inclusion criteria. From these studies, we deduced that caffeine intake during pregnancy between 50 mg and <150 mg/day was associated with increased risk of overweight and obesity by excess fat deposition or increased weight, and elevated BMI per International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) criteria using a reference population. The majority of studies reported the strongest association with maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and overweight and obesity risk beginning at ≥ 300 mg/day.

Conclusions and Global Health Implication: The risk of childhood overweight or obesity was associated with caffeine consumption at 50 mg/day during pregnancy with a stronger association at intakes ≥ 300 mg/day and higher. The current recommendation of <200 mg/day of caffeine during pregnancy is likely associated with lower risk of overweight or obesity in offspring but avoidance of the substance is recommended.

Key words: • Prenatal • Caffeine intake • Pregnancy • Childhood • Obesity • Overweight • Serum paraxanthine • Systematic review

Copyright © 2020 Frayer and Kim. Published by Global Health and Education Projects, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License **CC BY 4.0**.

1. Introduction

Starting in the 1980's until 2004, the prevalence of childhood obesity in the United States was increasing at a rate of 0.4-0.7 percent points per year. By 2016, approximately 13.7 million children in the United States were classified as obese.¹ Overweight or obese children have a 70% chance of being overweight or obese in adulthood² along with higher risk for chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease, cancer, or diabetes.^{3,4-7} This trend of growing obesity initiated the need for interventions such as food assistance programs and nutrition education which have led to some improvement³ however a large majority of Americans remain obese.

In children, body mass index (BMI)-for-age can serve as an indicator of health risks and uses a reference population to compare results.¹ BMI-for-age does not measure body fat, and the numbers are adjusted for age and gender. The child's height and weight are entered in the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) growth charts. Children at or above the 85th percentile but less than 95th percentile are classified as overweight while those at or above the 95th percentile are classified as obese.^{2,8} Another measurement of childhood overweight and obesity involves cut-off points as designated by the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF). The IOTF links BMI at the age of 18 to child centiles based on data from six countries. This data does not translate into percentiles as with the CDC guidelines.⁹

If a child is obese by the age of 11 they are more likely to be obese in adulthood.⁵ The etiology behind childhood obesity is multifactorial with both genetic and environmental considerations. Specifically, for pediatrics, a more genetic component is thought to be at play involving DNA changes that occur as the fetus is developing or hormone alterations.^{2,7} During pregnancy, hormones change how caffeine metabolizing enzymes function.^{10,11} Due to the decreased enzyme function, caffeine ingestion during pregnancy may allow for the fetus to be exposed to glucocorticoids that the mother produces.¹¹ These glucocorticoids potentially interrupt the development of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal

axis (HPA-axis), which is suggested to be the primary place of genetic changes to predispose the fetus to metabolic syndrome.¹¹ Aside from genetic components, obesity for both children and adults can be a result of excess caloric intake or lack of physical activity.^{2,7}

Maternal obesity prior to pregnancy or the development of gestational diabetes during pregnancy has been associated with offspring that have a high fat mass when compared to lean muscle mass.¹² This disproportion in overall mass can predispose them to adult onset diseases indicating that a mother's diet both during pregnancy and before pregnancy can affect the health of the child.¹² Caffeine intake during pregnancy has been associated with unproportioned fat deposition such as abdominal subcutaneous fat,¹³ increased waist circumference,¹⁴ increased liver fat fraction,¹³ or increase in overall weight gain¹⁵ of the child.

1.2. Objectives of the Study

Research is inconclusive on whether appropriate caffeine intake can be included in the diet during pregnancy.¹⁴ Caffeine consumption of <400 mg per day outside of pregnancy has not been associated with negative health effects.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ In the U.S., a large prospective cohort study approximated that 75% of women consume caffeine during pregnancy.¹⁹ According to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), <200 mg/day of caffeine is recommended²⁰ but the World Health Organization (WHO) allows for <300 mg/day while pregnant.²¹ A typical 8oz cup of brewed coffee is approximately 137 mg of caffeine.²⁰ The ACOG recommendation comes from an evaluation completed on large cohort populations that found no consistent risk of miscarriage, preterm birth, or intrauterine growth restriction associated with caffeine intakes <200 mg/day.²⁰ Intakes of caffeine >200 mg/day have been associated with low birth weight, miscarriage, and small for gestation age (SGA) at birth.^{6,15,19,22,23} Evaluation of caffeine intake during pregnancy in relation to risk of childhood obesity was not considered while determining a recommendation for caffeine. Therefore, the objective of this systematic review was to determine if there is a specific

amount of caffeine intake during pregnancy that is associated with childhood overweight or obesity as demonstrated in published literature.

1.3. Specific Aims and Hypothesis

Cohort studies indicate that maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy is associated with childhood overweight or obesity.^{13-15,22,24} Li et al.,²⁴ found an 87% risk of obesity in the child when a mother consumed caffeine during pregnancy. Overweight status at ages six through 10 years old has also been associated with a mother's caffeine consumption during pregnancy.^{13-15,22} The purpose of this systematic review was to summarize the current research that addresses an association between maternal caffeine consumption and risk of childhood overweight or obesity.

2. Methods

Using Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines,²⁵ a systematic literature review was conducted (Figure 1). This search strategy included utilizing several databases to identify peer-reviewed journal articles. Databases searched included Medline, PubMed, CINAHL-Plus and Google Scholar. Key words included in the search were “prenatal,” “caffeine,” “pregnancy,” “childhood,” “overweight,” “obesity,” and “serum paraxanthine.” By using these key words and finding articles to be included, reference list from these articles provided other studies that were available. Reviews were conducted and data were gathered in January 2020.

Figure 1: PRISMA flow diagram

2.1. Study Variables

Eligible studies included original articles. The study must have been available in English language with no restriction on the year of publication, as literature for this review was scarce. Included studies were prospective cohort populations with women who were pregnant ≥ 28 weeks with singleton live births. This ensured a level of uniformity while comparing literature throughout the review and helped to provide enough information from each cohort to evaluate the possibility of a significant association. We included only singleton births thus likely eliminating high risk pregnancy as a potential confounder. The elements of each study had to contain mothers that consumed caffeine during pregnancy, offspring with anthropometric measurements available, and measured caffeine intake from the mother during pregnancy to be included. No age limit for the mothers was set in these studies. Given that serum paraxanthine is a metabolite of caffeine that was used to identify maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy with childhood overweight or obesity outcomes, this measurement of caffeine was also included in the review. These criteria allowed for the review to have adequate evidence to compare results and identify an association.

Excluded studies were those with insufficient evidence such as missing childhood measurements, no caffeine measurements from mothers during pregnancy, and no protocol to evaluate caffeine measurements. As there have been other associations suggested with prenatal caffeine exposure and childhood outcomes, those articles that focused on outcomes other than childhood obesity, overweight, anthropometric measurements, or fat deposition were excluded.

The primary outcome was the association of maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and childhood obesity status. Secondary outcomes include maternal caffeine intake and overweight status in childhood, altered fat deposition, or altered childhood anthropometrics causing similar health risk factors to obesity. For the selected studies, data were extracted including childhood overweight status, obesity, childhood fat deposition,

or childhood anthropometrics with measurements and definitions. Demographics of the mothers and the source and amount of caffeine mothers consumed during pregnancy were evaluated. Study characteristics including place, time, and size of cohort were considered. Assumptions included basic knowledge of BMI and the association of altered fat deposition, and anthropometrics including skinfold measurements with childhood obesity or overweight status.

Risk of bias was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for case control and cohort studies to determine the level of significance in regards to the data presented.²⁶ The scale was used to evaluate selection bias, comparability bias, and outcome bias for each study by using eight categories. Once the assessment was completed, each study was evaluated with the set categories and was considered low risk (+), high risk (-), or unclear (?).

2.2. Statistical Analysis

The studies evaluated their data utilizing linear regression models of which the results were recorded by a standard deviation score with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and odds ratios (OR). Odds ratio with a 95% CI was utilized to compare mothers who consumed less amounts of caffeine (< 50 mg/day,¹⁵ < 150 mg/day,²⁴ and < 180 mg/day¹³) to those who consumed more (> 50 mg/day,¹⁵ ≥ 150 mg/day,²⁴ and ≥ 180 mg/day¹³). P-value or P for trend of < 0.05 was used to establish significance for all of the studies except Barr and Streissguth.²⁷ The study that utilized serum paraxanthine²⁸ also evaluated the difference of BMI scores with serum paraxanthine levels using a Risk Ratio and 95% CI. Since each study presented different assessments of mothers' caffeine sources during pregnancy, as well as varying anthropometrics and follow-up times, a meta-analysis was not possible and therefore a systematic review ensued.

To evaluate the quality of each study, the National Lung, Heart and Blood Institute Study Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-sectional studies was applied.²⁹ When applying this tool, each study was evaluated through a series of 14 questions. Each question gave the study a point if they met the criteria and therefore the maximum

score allocated was 14 points in total. Given the context of cohort studies, no additional analysis was needed. This is a systematic review, therefore this manuscript was exempt from seeking an institutional ethics approval before conducting the review.

3. Results

The original search yielded 24 articles to be included in the review. After duplicates were removed, 18 articles were available to be screened. Of the 18 articles available, only those with measurements of both maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and childhood outcomes of overweight, altered fat deposition including anthropometric measurements indicating childhood overweight or obesity were included. This allowed for eight articles to be included in the final review (Figure 1).

Every study consisted of a prospective cohort population across multiple countries including Netherlands,^{13,22} Norway,¹⁵ United States,^{24,27,28} Ireland,¹⁴ and Brazil.¹⁰ Follow-up throughout the studies were significantly different. The shortest follow-up period measured infants at six months old as the endpoint of the study.¹⁰ The majority of studies had endpoint follow-ups between the ages of six to 10 years old for evaluation of the children.^{13-15,22,27,28} The longest study evaluated their cohort population up to 15 years.²⁴ Eligible study characteristics are available in Table 1.

3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics

The total number of mother-child pairs that had end point measurements of the child or adolescent at completion of the study was 34,443. The age of the participating mothers in four of the studies^{10,13,14,22} ranged from 26.2-36.5 years and a pre-pregnancy BMI range of 17.8-31.0. The other studies provided ages^{15,24,27,28} and BMI^{15,24} in separated groups and therefore could not be combined but are available in Table 2. Each cohort only allowed information on the mothers that had delivered a singleton, live birth and consumed caffeine during pregnancy.

Out of the participants, those who consumed the higher amounts of caffeine tended to be older (>30 years of age),^{13-15,24,28} have more than one child,^{13-15,24,27} be a smoker,^{10,13,15,24,27,28} and of European

descent or white.^{13,22,24,28} Four of the studies found that mothers who had higher education also tended to consume more caffeine.^{13,14,22,23,24} Table 2 addresses demographic information of the included studies.

Sources of caffeine included were coffee,^{13-15,22,24,27} tea,^{13-15, 22,24,27} soft drinks,^{14,15,24,27} chocolate,^{14,15,27} chocolate milk,^{14,15,27} hot chocolate,^{14,24} sandwich spreads,¹⁵ and desserts or sweets with cocoa.^{14,15} Energy drinks were excluded due to limited consumption of these during pregnancy.¹⁵

Caffeine content was measured differently throughout the studies. Two of the studies estimated an average coffee serving to be approximately 90 mg of caffeine^{13,22} and then characterized caffeine content into units (1 unit=90 mg of caffeine). One study that utilized in person interviews,²⁴ used 150 ml to be approximately 100 mg of caffeine as a standard serving. Three of the studies^{10,14,15} evaluated caffeine content using mg/day based on the responses received from the food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) data. Only one study provided the differences of caffeine content depending on preparation of the coffee i.e. dripped, percolated or instant coffee.²⁷ In the Collaborative Perinatal Project conducted by Klebanoff and Keim,²⁸ caffeine consumption was measured by serum paraxanthine levels, which have been shown to correlate with 24 hour caffeine consumption. Serum was collected every eight weeks during pregnancy but only two serum samples were used; one for <20 weeks and one for >26 weeks gestation.

Every study was able to control for confounders and had adequate length of follow up time to assess outcomes. The outcome of interest was an area of low bias for all the studies due to the nature of selecting a cohort that is known to be pregnant. Aside from the outcome of interest being known, Barr and Streissguth²⁷ and Klebanoff and Keim²⁸ had the lowest risk of bias. Li et al.²⁴ overall had a low bias assessment; however, participants provided their own caffeine information despite having an interviewer present therefore introducing a potential for bias. Every study had a similar selection process of obtaining their participants from a cohort population voluntarily. The pregnant

Table 1: Summary of Studies Included in the Systematic Review

Authors/Cohort	Time frame of follow-up	How Caffeine intake was obtained	Sources of Caffeine	Measurement of Caffeine (mg)	Main results (95% CI)* (mg/day of caffeine intake during pregnancy)
Voerman, et al, 2016. ²² Generation R Study, 2001-2005, Netherlands	From birth to 6 years	Postal Questionnaires during 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd trimesters	Coffee, Tea	Each unit of caffeine based on 1 cup of caffeinated coffee ~90mg=1unit	4-5.9 and ≥6 units/d= ↑ BMI: 0.9(-0.01, 0.19), 0.16 (-0.03,0.36)(P<0.001) and ↑total body mass at 6 yr old: 0.10 (0.01,0.20), 0.18 (0.01,0.37)(P<0.001) respectively. ≥6 units/day= ↑risk of overweight 1.25(0.68,2.30). **
Voerman E, et al, 2019. ¹³ Generation R Study, 2001-2005, Netherlands	10 years old	Postal Questionnaires during 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd trimesters	Coffee, Tea	Each unit of caffeine based on 1 cup of caffeinated coffee ~90mg=1unit	4-5.9 and ≥6 units/d= ↑BMI: 0.12 (0.01,0.24) and 0.24 (0.01,0.47) respectively (P<0.001) and ↑ body fat mass 0.14(0.04, 0.25) and 0.22(0.02,0.43) respectively (P<0.001). ≥6 units/d= ↑ risk of overweight at 10 yr old 1.59 (0.92,2.75).**
Papadopoulou E, et al, 2018. ¹⁵ Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study, 1999-2008, Norway	6, 18, 36 months and 5, 7 and 8 years.	FFQ during first 4-5 months of gestation	Coffee, black tea, caffeinated soft drinks, energy drinks, chocolate, chocolate milk and sandwich spreads, desserts, cakes and sweets with cocoa.	Caffeine in mg/d Low (0-49) Avg (50-199) High(200-299) Very High (≥300)	50-199 mg/d=risk of ↑ BMI at 8 yr old:0.02 (-0.01,0.05) ≥300 mg/d= risk of ↑ BMI at 8 yr old: 0.31 (0.22,0.39), risk of excess growth by additional 480g in weight at 8yr old 1.29 (1.04,1.61). Risk of being overweight by 8 yr old 1.29 (1.04, 1.61).**
Li DK, et al, 2015. ²⁴ San Francisco: Kaiser Permanente Northern California: Integrated health-care delivery system. 1996-1998, United States	15 years	In-person interview in first or very early second trimester.	Coffee (caffeinated or decaf) Tea (caffeinated or decaf), caffeinated soda, hot chocolate.	For every 150ml of a beverage ~5oz. Coffee: 100mg Decaf Coffee: 2mg Tea: 39mg Decaf Tea: N/A Soda: 15mg Hot Choc: 2mg	Maternal caffeine intake overall during pregnancy has an 87% risk of their children having obesity using BMI-for-age criteria OR 1.87 (95% CI: 1.12, 3.12) (P<0.01). <150 mg/d alone had a 77% increased risk of the offspring being obese OR 2.37 (95% CI: 1.24, 4.52) (P<0.01).
Chen L, et al, 2019. ¹⁴ The Lifeways Cross-Generation Cohort Study. 2001-2003, Ireland	5 and 9 years old	FFQ in first trimester	Coffee, tea, soft drinks, chocolate containing food and beverages *tea is main source of caffeine in Ireland.	Caffeine divided into groups depending on intake reported in FFQ. All in mg/day <50, 50-<100, 100 to <200 and ≥200/day.	50 mg/d = ↑ risk overweight at 9 yr old with every 100 mg/d increase 0.144 (1.10,1.88)(P<0.01). ↑ risk of increased central obesity at 9 yr old 1.62(1.12,2.34) (p<0.05). ≥200 mg/d= ↑BMI z-score 0.17(0.04,0.29) (P<0.01). Caffeine from coffee only associated with ↑ risk of overweight or obesity at 9 yr old 2.81(1.14,6.90)(P<0.01)

(Contd...)

Table 1: (Continued)

Authors/Cohort	Time frame of follow-up	How Caffeine intake was obtained	Sources of Caffeine	Measurement of Caffeine (mg)	Main results (95% CI)* (mg/day of caffeine intake during pregnancy)
Santos de Medeiros T, et al, 2017. ¹⁰ Impact of Perinatal Different Intrauterine Environments on Child Growth and Development in the First Six Months of Life Project. 2011-2015, Brazil	3 and 6 months of age	FFQ 7 days post-partum	Caffeine from coffee only	Caffeine in mg by converting serving sizes as needed and using U.S. nutritional tables.	Mothers who had caffeine during pregnancy had children with increased skinfold measurements at 3 months 0.0015 (0.000, 0.029) ($p < 0.047$). No significant association with measurements at 6 months.
Barr and Streissguth, 1991. ²⁷ Seattle Longitudinal Study on Alcohol and Pregnancy. 1974-1975, United States	Birth to 7 years of age	Self-reported consumption using quantity-frequency-variability interview	Coffee, tea, cola, chocolate candy and chocolate milk	27 mg for non-herbal tea, 112.74 or 66 mg per cup of dripped, percolated or instant coffee, 10mg choc milk, 31mg soft drink and 20mg for 4oz chocolate bar	No association was found with prenatal caffeine exposure and birthweight, birth length, or head circumference.
Klebanoff and Keim, 2015. ²⁸ Collaborative Perinatal Project 1959-1965, United States	4 and 7 years of age	Serum paraxanthine collected at registration and every 8 weeks during pregnancy, at delivery and 6 weeks post-partum	Caffeine intake in general – no specific source as the caffeine metabolite was being measured	n/a	No association between serum paraxanthine levels during pregnancy and childhood overweight or obesity.

*Results compared to low caffeine intake or no caffeine intake

**BMI identified by using International Obesity Task Force Criteria

Abbreviations: FFQ=food frequency questionnaire, BMI=Body Mass index

Table 2: Demographic Information of Mothers

Authors, year, place of cohort.	Number of Subjects	Mean age (yr)	Pre-pregnancy BMI	Ethnicity	Education level	Smoked during pregnancy (yes)	Parity
Voerman, et al, ²² 2016	7,857 mothers in analysis. 5,562 children at follow-up	30.4	22.6	58.5% of European descent	5.9% primary 43.3% secondary 50.8% higher	18.6%	43.6% multiparous
Voerman E, et al, ¹³ 2019	4770 mothers with children at follow-up	31.2	22.6	65.8% European descent	7.4% primary 42.2% secondary 50.4% higher	24.3%	41.2% multiparous
Papadopoulou E, et al, ¹⁵ 2018	50,943 mother-child pairs	<20= < 1% 20-29=44.6% ≥30=54.5%	<18.5=2% 18.5-24.9=66.2% 25-29.9=21.7% ≥30=9%	n/a	< 13 years=29.2% 13-16 years=43.9% >16 years=26.7	7%	46% multiparous
Li DK, et al, ²⁴ 2015	661 children	*<25=14.3% 25-30=28.9% 30-35=34.9% 35+=21.7%	<25=67.9% ≥25=32%	White=34.4% Black=6% Hispanic=23.5% Asian/Pacific Islander=30.8% Other=4%	<College=56.7% College degree=28.6% Graduate School=14.4%	9.9%	57% multiparous
Chen L, et al, ¹⁴ 2019	558 mother-child pairs	30.8±5.7	23.7±3.8	n/a	Below tertiary=48% Tertiary or above=52%	26%	57% multiparous
Santos de Medeiros T, et al, ¹⁰ 2017	272 mother-child pairs	26.2±6.7	24.4±6.6	White=60.3%	Median: 10 years	25%	58.8% multiparous
Barr and Streissguth, ²⁷ 1991	1529 mothers ^{***}	13-16=2% 17-24=33% 25-32=59% 33-40=6% >40=<1%	n/a	White=86% Black=9% Other=5%	<High school=11% High School Grad=28% Some College=29% College Grad=25% Grad School=7%	n/a	56% multiparous
Klebanoff and Keim, ²⁸ 2015	1900 mothers ^{***}	<20=14.5% 20-24=38.6% 25-29=23.8% 30-34=13.7% ≥35=9%	n/a	White=68.5 Other=31.4	<12 years=40.9% 12 years=39.7% >12 years=19.2%	41%	n/a

*Age at Delivery

**Of the 1529 mothers in the cohort, only 500 children were selected for measurements at follow up.

***Of the 18=1900 mothers that provided ≥26 weeks serum, only 1635 children were included in the final follow up

women that did not consume caffeine during pregnancy were selected from the same cohort. Santos de Medeiros et al.¹⁰ presented the highest risk of bias from the studies. Part of this was due to their inability to get the sample size they needed from the cohort and therefore selected their own convenience sample out of the cohort available to them.¹⁰ The assessment of outcomes was unclear in half of the studies^{10,13,15,22} due to lack of statements identifying if the researchers were blinded, who was doing the measurements they provided, and lack of comparison to secure records. The majority of studies had adequate follow-up retention however three of them^{10,13,14} had > 20% loss to follow up. Details for the risk of bias are summarized in Figure 2.

3.2. Main Variable Results

The Generation R cohort in the Netherlands produced two studies of which caffeine was categorized into units, each unit equaled 90 mg of caffeine.^{13,22} For the first study²² when comparing results between pregnant mothers who consumed <2 units of caffeine to those that consumed 4-5.9 units and ≥6 units, the offspring had increased childhood BMI using IOTF criteria, 0.9 standard deviation (SD) (95% confidence interval (CI): -0.01, 0.19) for 4-5.9 units and 0.16 SD (95% CI: -0.03, 0.36) for ≥6 units, ($P < 0.001$). Using the same caffeine amounts, elevated total body mass at six years old was also observed 0.10 SD (95% CI: 0.01, 0.20) and 0.18 SD (95% CI: -0.01, 0.37) respectively (P_{trend} 0.015). Mothers who consumed ≥6 units per day during pregnancy had children with an increased android/gynoid fat ratio 0.27 SD (95% CI: 0.05, 0.49). This same group of mothers that consumed ≥6 units caffeine per day also showed children at age six with an increased risk of being overweight when compared to mother's who consumed <2 units per day. OR 1.25 (95% CI: 0.68, 2.30).

The second Generation R cohort study¹³ evaluated offspring up to 10 years of age and found congruent results. For those who had maternal caffeine consumption during pregnancy of 4-5.9 units or ≥6 units of caffeine per day compared to <2 units per day, offspring were found to have

Figure 2: Risk of Bias in Individual Studies²⁶

	Representativeness of exposed cohort	Selection of non-exposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Outcome of interest was not present at start of study	Controlling for confounding factors	Assessment of outcome	Long enough follow up	Adequacy of follow up
Voerman et al, ²² 2016	+	+	?	-	+	?	+	+
Voerman et al, ¹³ 2019	+	+	?	-	+	?	+	-
Papadopoulou et al, ¹⁵ 2019	+	+	?	-	+	?	+	+
Li et al, ²⁴ 2015	+	+	?	-	+	+	+	+
Chen et al, ¹⁴ 2019	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
Santos de Medeiros et al, ¹⁰ 2017	-	-	?	-	+	?	+	-
Barr and Streissguth, ²⁷ 1991	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Klebanoff and Keim, ²⁸ 2015	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+

+: Low risk of bias, -: High risk of bias, ?: Unclear bias

increased BMI per IOTF criteria 0.12 SD (95% CI: 0.01, 0.24) and 0.24 SD (95% CI: 0.01, 0.47) respectively (P_{trend} 0.048). Elevated body fat mass index at both 4-5.9 and 6 units was also observed 0.14 SD (95% CI: 0.04, 0.25) and 0.22 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.43) respectively (P_{trend} 0.008), and an elevated android/gynoid fat mass ratio was found with the same amount of caffeine 0.16 SD (95% CI: 0.05, 0.27) and 0.22 SD (95% CI: 0.01, 0.44) respectively (P_{trend} 0.003). Mothers who consumed ≥6 units caffeine per day compared to those who have <2 units per day during pregnancy had increased risk of their child being overweight at 10 years of age OR 1.59 (95% CI: 0.92, 2.75). Similarly, mothers who had 4-5.9 or ≥6 units of caffeine per day had offspring with associated increased abdominal subcutaneous fat mass index 0.15 SD (95% CI: -0.01, 0.30) for the 4-5.9 units and 0.35 SD (95% CI: 0.04, 0.65) for ≥6 units (P_{trend} 0.023). With the same units of caffeine, elevated abdominal visceral fat mass index was also observed with 0.14 SD (95% CI: -0.03, 0.30) and

0.43 SD (95% CI: 0.11, 0.76) respectively (*P*trend 0.003). Finally, liver fat fraction was also high in these children with 4-5.9 units of caffeine consumed per day when compared to <2 units per day 0.2 SD (95% CI: 0.04, 0.36) (*P*trend 0.004).

The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort study¹⁵ separated maternal caffeine consumption into different groups, low (<50 mg), average (50-199 mg), high (200-299 mg), and very high (≥300 mg). Follow-up on the children was completed at six months old up to eight years of age. When compared to the low caffeine intake, children who had mothers with very high caffeine intake during pregnancy had an increased likelihood of excess growth, defined as an excess of 480 grams, by eight years old (adjusted odds ratio aOR) 1.29 (95% CI: 1.04, 1.61). When compared to low caffeine consumers, risk of being overweight by age eight was only found in the very high caffeine group odds ratio (OR) 1.29 (95% CI: 1.04, 1.61). This study evaluated BMI for children at eight years old by using Jenss-Bayley growth curve model and cut off points for overweight or obesity were determined using the IOTF criteria. Increased BMI was found in offspring of pregnant mothers who consumed 50-199 mg/day in all age groups including infancy at three months β coefficients (β) 0.03 (95% CI: 0.01, 0.05), toddlerhood at 18 months β 0.04 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.06), preschool at five years β 0.03 (95% CI: 0.01, 0.06), and school age at eight years β 0.02 (95% CI: -0.01, 0.05). Risk of elevated BMI by age eight in the very high caffeine group when compared to the low group was β 0.31 (95% CI: 0.22, 0.39).

The longest study had a 15 year follow-up period in which caffeine intake was divided into three groups: no caffeine, <150 mg/day, and ≥150 mg/day.²⁴ Compared to the no caffeine group, caffeine intake overall from the mother during pregnancy showed an 87% increased risk of their children having obesity using BMI-for-age criteria OR 1.87 (95% CI: 1.12, 3.12) (*P*trend <0.01). The group that had <150 mg caffeine per day alone had a 77% increased risk of the offspring being obese aOR 1.77 (95% CI: 1.05, 3.00).. The offspring from those who consumed ≥150 mg/day were more than twice as likely to have obesity 2.37 (95% CI: 1.24, 4.52). *P*-value for trend for the

dose response groups was *P*<0.01. The researchers found that the source of caffeine did not seem to impact the overall outcomes. Additionally, this is the only study that separated genders of children, finding that girls were at higher risk of obesity than boys (<150 mg/day OR 1.24 (95% CI: 0.61, 2.50)) and (≥150 mg OR 3.32 (95% CI: 1.49, 7.41)) (*P*trend 0.007) when their mothers consumed caffeine during pregnancy.

Chen et al.¹⁴ found that compared to those who did not drink caffeine during pregnancy, mothers with caffeine intake starting at 50 mg/day during pregnancy had an increased risk of offspring with childhood overweight or obese status at age nine with every 100 mg/day increase of caffeine OR 1.44 (95% CI: 1.10, 1.88) (*P* <0.01). This same increase in caffeine was also associated with an elevation in central obesity, measured as a waist circumference >90th percentile in nine year olds OR 1.62 (95% CI: 1.12, 2.34) (*P* <0.05). BMI z-scores, which are age and sex specific, were elevated with caffeine consumption ≥200 mg/day 0.17 (95% CI: 0.04, 0.29) (*P* <0.01) when compared to those mothers who consumed <50 mg caffeine per day. When comparing low caffeine intake of <50 mg/day to highest intake ≥200 mg/day, caffeine from coffee alone had an association with overweight and obese status at nine years old OR 2.81 (95% CI: 1.14, 6.90) (*P*trend 0.10) and central obesity OR 4.57 (95% CI: 1.14, 18.24) (*P*trend 0.046).

3.3. Other Variable Results

Following studies that found a positive association with caffeine intake during pregnancy and adverse childhood outcomes, Santos de Medeiros et al.¹⁰ utilized a cohort group but then stratified participants into smaller groups based on the pregnant mother's characteristics. These groups included diabetic mothers, those with hypertension, those with SGA children, mothers that smoked, and the control group of no caffeine consumption during pregnancy. Caffeine intake was evaluated by group and then body fat recorded as skinfold measurements were taken on infants at three and six months. For the women that consumed caffeine during pregnancy, their offspring did show

an increase in skinfold thickness measurements at three months in a multiple linear regression β 0.0015 (95% CI: 0.000, 0.029) ($P < 0.047$). The sum of skinfold thickness was high and was found to have significance when compared to the control group ($P = 0.034$). When these measurements were repeated at six months, no significant association was found between the maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and skinfold measurements. It is interesting to note that mothers with diabetes were more likely to consume caffeine compared to the other groups ($P < 0.05$) and those who smoked had a higher caffeine intake overall when compared with the control group ($P = 0.01$).

The Seattle Longitudinal Study on Alcohol and Pregnancy cohort²⁷ determined if an association exists between maternal caffeine consumption and infants' anthropometric measurements at birth. No association was found between caffeine intake and birthweight, birth length, or head circumference. Offspring were followed for seven years at which time still no significant association was found.

Finally, serum paraxanthine levels were measured to identify caffeine intake of pregnant mothers instead of milligrams of caffeine consumed.²⁸ Serum paraxanthine is a metabolite of caffeine and evaluates both caffeine intake and metabolism; elevated levels correspond to recent caffeine consumption typically for a 24 hour period. Serum paraxanthine levels were collected at < 20 weeks and ≥ 26 weeks gestation. A trend was observed of elevated child BMI-for-age with increasing risk of overweight or obesity as serum paraxanthine levels increased up to 1,000 $\mu\text{g/liter}$. For serum paraxanthine levels $> 1000 \mu\text{g/liter}$ BMI-for-age plateaued or decreased with increased concentration. Therefore, no association between serum paraxanthine levels in a pregnant mother and risk of overweight or obesity in offspring was identified.

An association was found between maternal caffeine consumption as low as 50 mg/day during pregnancy with increased risk of elevated BMI,¹⁵ overweight or obese status,¹⁴ and increased waist circumference.¹⁴ Increased risk of obesity was also

found in amounts $< 150 \text{ mg/day}$.²⁴ Other studies did not find an association with risk of overweight until $\geq 200 \text{ mg/day}$ ¹⁴ or $\geq 300 \text{ mg/day}$ of caffeine consumption.¹⁵ Furthermore, two of the studies did not associate overweight status of the child with caffeine intake until amounts were $\geq 540 \text{ mg/day}$.^{13,22} Those that found an association when caffeine was 50 mg/day did find that with increasing amounts of caffeine intake, risk of these outcomes increased.^{14,15,24}

Additional findings throughout the studies included increased total body fat mass,^{13,22} and higher liver fat fraction¹³ at $\geq 360 \text{ mg}$ of caffeine per day during pregnancy. Increased android/gynoid fat ratio was also observed both at $\geq 360 \text{ mg}$ ¹³ and $\geq 540 \text{ mg}$ ^{13,22} of caffeine per day. Excess growth¹⁵ was identified at $\geq 300 \text{ mg/day}$ and central obesity¹⁴ was found with caffeine at 50 mg/day. Altered anthropometrics including skin fold test at six months¹⁰ or height, length, and head circumference at seven years²⁷ were not found to be associated with a mother's caffeine consumption during pregnancy. No relationship was found between serum paraxanthine levels during pregnancy and BMI-for-age by seven years old.²⁸

All studies in this review were ≥ 10 out of 14 in quality. The highest rated study was Klebanoff and Keim²⁸ had a study quality score of 12 out of 14. By evaluating serum paraxanthine levels, areas of potential error such as error of self-report of caffeine intake were eliminated. In order to have a sufficient sample size and due to the nature of the cohort study design the majority of studies^{13-15,22,24,27,28} were unable to justify their sample size. The one exception to this was Santos de Medeiros et al.¹⁰ who selected a convenience sample out of the cohort. The statistical power of the study was evaluated due to the use of the convenience sample. Every study did not make it clear if those who were evaluating the childhood anthropometric outcomes were aware of the caffeine consumption of the mothers during pregnancy. Chen et al.¹⁴ and Papadopoulou et al.¹⁵ had the lowest quality with a score of 10 out of 14 due to caffeine intake assessment only being completed once in their evaluation of the mother's

intake. The summary of the quality assessment of studies in this review can be seen in Table 3. As a meta-analysis was unable to be completed due to the differing results and measurements of each study, no additional analysis was needed.

4. Discussion

Of the eight studies available, five of them found an association between maternal caffeine consumption of 50 mg/day to >540 mg/day and offspring obesity with either elevated BMI-for-age^{13,22,24} or elevated BMI per IOTF criteria.^{14,15} The likelihood of these outcomes increased as caffeine intake increased.^{14,15} Secondary outcomes that were associated with caffeine intake during pregnancy included risk of overweight starting at ≥ 50 mg/day¹⁴ to ≥ 540 mg/day,^{13,15,22,24} excess growth or increase in total body weight at ≥ 300 mg/day,¹⁵ increased central obesity or high waist circumference at ≥ 50 mg/day,¹⁴ elevated android/gynoid fat ratio at ≥ 360 mg/day,^{13,22} elevated liver fat fraction at ≥ 360 mg/day,¹³ and an increase in both total body mass²² and body fat mass at ≥ 360 mg/day.¹³ Three of the studies were unable to find a relationship between caffeine consumption and a child's anthropometrics including skin fold measurements,¹⁰ height, length, and head circumference,²⁷ and BMI-for-age using serum paraxanthine levels.²⁸

4.1. Discussion

The large size of the cohort groups available allowed researchers to draw conclusions in regards to adverse risk factors of caffeine intake during pregnancy. By evaluating possible health outcomes beyond the first couple months after birth, these studies support previous evidence that nutrient intake during pregnancy plays a large role in health outcomes for life. Only 25% of women do not consume caffeine while pregnant.¹⁹ Research has shown that women do decrease their caffeine intake during pregnancy²⁷ but the loss of the beneficial side effects of caffeine such as mental alertness and decreased fatigue¹⁶ can be challenging.

These cohorts were from countries around the world eliminating location as a factor and allowing researchers to focus in on individual maternal intakes. The size of the cohorts was beneficial as

researchers were able to draw conclusions from a large offspring population and have enough anthropometric data to evaluate obesity trends. The researchers also included measurements on other weight related health factors including overall body fat mass,¹³ waist circumference,¹⁴ and liver fat fraction.¹³ Elevations in these measurements has similar health risk as being overweight or obese and may help determine the primary etiology of adipose changes with caffeine intake. Klebanoff and Keim²⁸ used serum paraxanthine to identify the amount of caffeine consumed than only using serum caffeine level. Measurements of serum paraxanthine have been found to correlate with self-reported caffeine consumption and may be a useful tool to clarify caffeine intake.³⁰

In regards to quality, the strengths of the studies included clarity and specificity of which the authors discussed their objectives and appropriate populations, exposure measures were clearly defined, and sufficient time frames were provided.

4.2. Limitations

Information on maternal caffeine consumption during pregnancy was derived from food frequency questionnaires,^{10,14,15} postal questionnaires,^{13,22} and in person interviews.^{24,27} While the FFQ method is preferred in large cohorts,³¹ self-reporting errors may occur.³² For the studies utilizing the food frequency questionnaire and postal questionnaires, information was gathered at different time points throughout the pregnancy including first trimester,¹⁵ 22 weeks,¹⁴ and at each trimester.^{10,13,22} Two studies^{24,27} used in-person interviews which may have contributed to a better estimation of actual consumption thus aiding in the significant finding however in-person interviewing could also contribute to misinformation if the participant was not comfortable sharing true caffeine intake. In person interviews were obtained during the first trimester or early second trimester²⁴ and the quantity frequency index was completed during the 5th month of pregnancy.²⁷ As caffeine intakes may change as pregnancy continues,²⁷ in the future it may be helpful to collect serum at different points throughout the pregnancy.

Table 3: quality assessment of observational cohort and cross-sectional studies

Reference	Possible conflict of interest reported	Total quality score*	Criteria not met
Voerman, et al, ²² 2016	No	11	Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? Not recorded. Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?: Not recorded Was loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less? 30% loss to follow up.
Voerman E, et al, ¹³ 2019	No	11	Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? Not recorded. Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?: Not recorded Was loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less? 39.6% loss to follow up
Papadopoulou E, et al, ¹⁵ 2018	No	10	Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? Not recorded. Was the exposure assessed more than once over time?: No Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?: Not recorded Was loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less? 77% loss to follow up.
Li DK, et al, ²⁴ 2015	No	11	Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? Not recorded. Was the exposure assessed more than once over time?: No Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?: Not recorded
Chen L, et al, ¹⁴ 2019	No	10	Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? Not recorded. Was the exposure assessed more than once over time?: No Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?: Not recorded Was loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less? No
Santos de Medeiros T, et al, ¹⁰ 2017	No	11	Was the timeframe sufficient so that one could reasonably expect to see an association between exposure and outcome? No For exposures that can vary in amount or level, did the study examine different levels of the exposure as related to the outcome? No Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?: Not recorded
Barr and Streissguth, ²⁷ 1991	Not stated	11	Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? Not recorded. Was the exposure assessed more than once over time?: No Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?: Not recorded
Klebanoff and Keim, ²⁸ 2015	Not stated	12	Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? Not recorded. Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?: Not recorded

*Total Quality Score determined by National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Study Quality Assessment Tools

Scoring criteria obtained from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Quality Assessment of Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies

Scoring criteria obtained from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Quality Assessment with Maximum Quality Score of 14

The types and sources of caffeine recorded varied across all studies. Most agreed that coffee was a major contributor to caffeine intake, many

of them pointed out that caffeine levels in both coffee and tea can vary depending on preparation method.^{10,13-15,22,24,27} Two studies identified coffee as

their main caffeine source^{10,14} of which they were able to find a relationship with obesity of overweight status in offspring. However, other studies^{15,24} found that overweight or obesity risk was associated with any source of caffeine including coffee, soda and tea. Only two of the studies considered added sugar intake from soda or if the mother had sugar or cream added to their caffeinated beverages.^{14,24} This is important not only for the excess calories these additives could provide, but also for the component of pure sugar to the infant potentially affecting the outcomes of the study. These additives should be addressed in the questionnaire used to evaluate caffeine intake.

The studies evaluated caffeine in milligrams per day then grouped caffeine amounts into different categories to identify what low versus high caffeine intake definitions were. The categories often had a large range of caffeine amounts consumed. This range prevents mothers from knowing the exact amount of caffeine that can be consumed without associations with childhood overweight or obesity. The studies by Voerman et al.^{13,22} from 2016 and 2019 had the most divisions of caffeine intakes allowing for little range, however Chen et al.¹⁴ had wide ranges such as 50-199 mg/day for average caffeine intake and therefore were conclusively able to report an association with caffeine as low as 50 mg/day when it may have been found only in those who consumed >50-199 mg/day. The five studies with a positive association between caffeine intake during pregnancy and childhood obesity by age appropriate BMI found this association at 50-199 mg/day,¹⁵ <150 mg/day,²⁴ ≥200 mg/day,¹⁴ and ≥360 mg/day.^{13,22} This large difference shows the importance of providing smaller ranges of caffeine measurements.

Only one study²⁴ found a significant difference between genders. As this study had a 15-year follow-up period, it was the only study to evaluate offspring beyond 10 years of age. Girls who were born to mothers that consumed any amount of caffeine (< 150 mg or ≥150 mg/day) during pregnancy were more likely to be persistently obese. With the advancing age of the offspring, gender differences at puberty need to be considered while evaluating

anthropometrics. In general, during puberty, girls accumulate more fat than their male counterparts, while males develop more lean body mass.³³ Therefore, puberty changes may contribute to the gender differences observed.

Potential mechanisms between caffeine consumption in pregnancy and childhood obesity or overweight status were discussed throughout the studies. The enzyme CYP1A2 decreases throughout pregnancy due to hormone changes.^{13-15,22,34} The decreasing function of the enzyme, in addition to caffeine being able to cross the placental barrier, results in the fetus having caffeine in their system for a longer period of time potentially affecting growth and development.^{20,22,35} Hormone changes are also thought to be responsible for elevated glucocorticoid levels that occur when caffeine is consumed.^{11,36,37} This increase in glucocorticoid levels have been associated with childhood obesity and higher visceral adipose tissue deposition.^{11,36,37} Some studies have suggested that continuous fetal exposure to glucocorticoids could alter the development of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA-axis),^{10,24,36,37} limit fetal growth, alter the growth of the pancreas, change insulin targeted tissues, and affect adipose deposition.^{10,13,22} The HPA-axis regulates homeostatic functions throughout the body including glucose and lipid metabolism.^{10,11,37} Another mechanism involves the association of caffeine intake during pregnancy with SGA infants.^{38,39} These children go through a period of catch-up growth which has been associated with increased body and abdominal fat, particularly central adiposity.^{6,23} Insulin resistance and elevated insulin-like-growth factor-I (IGF-I) levels have also been observed to be possibly creating excess fat deposition over lean muscle mass development.^{6,11,22,23} Due to the difficulty of experimenting on a pregnant population, these potential etiologies were developed from rodent studies and therefore are difficult to find the same pathways in humans.^{36,37,40} Emerging research has found a way to utilize “organ-on-a-chip technology”.⁴¹ Placental organ tissue has been difficult to obtain due to it only being present during pregnancy. Having this available can help determine

amounts of caffeine pass through the placental barrier potentially allowing for more research for caffeine alternatives during pregnancy.⁴¹

4.3. Recommendations for Further Studies

Ideally future research will continue utilizing large cohort populations. Financially a more specific food frequency questionnaire that delineates specific beverage consumption, including preparation method, and consideration of add-ins such as sugar or cream to beverages would likely yield truer consumption. In-person interviews may improve the quality of the diet being evaluated by having the interviewer define and explain questions along with providing visualization of portion sizes, but this can be time consuming and costly if trained researchers are the ones interviewing. Finding a way to reduce confounders such as separating those who drink coffee versus those who drink tea may also be helpful in determining outcomes with caffeine intake. Determining an appropriate time for follow-up is needed to identify childhood or adolescent age cut off points. As children age into puberty body composition changes can alter results and therefore needs to be addressed.

5. Conclusion and Global Health Implications

From the studies reviewed, caffeine intake as low as 50 mg/day^{14,15} during pregnancy has an association with increased risk of childhood overweight or obesity measured by either BMI per IOTF criteria¹⁵ or central obesity.¹⁴ One study found increased risk of obesity when any caffeine intake during pregnancy was considered, but the risk doubled when caffeine amounts were ≥ 150 mg/day.²⁴ The remaining two studies with a positive association didn't observe a risk of overweight or obesity until caffeine intake was ≥ 360 mg/day.^{13,22} As only two studies found associations at 50 mg/day but did identify that the risk increased as the amount of caffeine increased,^{14,15} the current recommendation of <200 mg/day presents with a lower risk of offspring overweight or obesity, but complete avoidance of caffeine would be recommended during pregnancy to eliminate any risk of these characteristics in children.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. **Financial Disclosure:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. **Funding/Support:** There was no source of funding or sponsors for this study. **Ethics Approval:** This is a systematic review, therefore it was not necessary to obtain an institutional ethics approval before conducting the review. **Acknowledgements:** None.

Key Messages

- Minimal research is available on long term health effects of maternal caffeine consumption during pregnancy on their offspring.
- There is an association between maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and childhood overweight or obesity.
- Cessation of caffeine consumption during pregnancy should be considered to avoid potential adverse health effects for the child.

References

1. Hales CM, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Prevalence of obesity among adults and youth: United States, 2015-2016. *NCHS Data Brief No.288*. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2017.
2. Güngör NK. Overweight and obesity in children and adolescents. *J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol*. 2014;6(3):129-143.43.
3. Anderson PM, Butcher KF, Whitmore Schanzenbach D. Understanding recent trends in childhood obesity in the United States. *Econ Hum Biol*. 2019;34:16-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ehb.2019.02.002>
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Overweight and Obesity: Childhood obesity causes and consequences. <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/childhood/causes.html>, Accessed February 1, 2020.
5. Dietz WH, Robinson, TN. Overweight children and adolescents. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352:2100-9.
6. Ibáñez L, Ong K, Dunger DB, de Zegher F. Early development of adiposity and insulin resistance after catch-up weight gain in small-for-gestational-age children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006;91(6):2153-2158. doi:10.1210/jc.2005-2778

7. Bishop J, Middendorf R, Babin T, Tilson W. ASPE Research brief: Childhood obesity. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2005 <https://aspe.hhs.gov/basic-report/aspe-childhood-obesity-white-paper>. Accessed February 15, 2020.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Overweight and Obesity: Defining childhood obesity. <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/childhood/defining.html>. Accessed February 1, 2020.
9. Cole TJ, Lobstein T. Extended international (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for thinness, overweight and obesity. *Pediatr Obes*. 2012;7(4):284-294. doi: 10.1111/j.2047-6310.2012.00064.x.
10. Santos de Medeiros T, Bernardi JR, Lopes de Brito M, Bosa VL, Goldani MZ, Homrich da Silva C. Caffeine intake during pregnancy in different intrauterine environments and its association with infant anthropometric measurements at 3 and 6 months of age. *Matern Child Health J*. 2017;21:1297-1307. doi:10.1007/s10995-016-2230-7
11. Liu Y, Xu D, Feng J, et al. Fetal rat metabonome alteration by prenatal caffeine ingestion probably due to the increased circulatory glucocorticoid level and altered peripheral glucose and lipid metabolic pathways. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 2012;262:205-216. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2012.05.002
12. Kunz LH, King JC. Impact of maternal nutrition and metabolism on health of the offspring. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2007;12:71-77. doi:10.1016/j.siny.2006.10.010
13. Voerman E, Jaddoe VWW, Hulst ME, Oei EHG, Gaillard R. Associations of maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy with abdominal and liver fat deposition in childhood. *Pediatr Obes*. 2019;e12607. doi:10.1111/ijpo.12607
14. Chen L, Murrin CM, Mehegan J, Kelleher CC, Phillips CM. Maternal, but not paternal or grandparental, caffeine intake is associated with childhood obesity and adiposity: The lifeways cross-generation cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2019;109:1648-1655. doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqz019
15. Papadopoulou E, Botton J, Brantsæter A. Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and childhood growth and overweight: results from a large Norwegian prospective observational cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8:e018895. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018895
16. Mitchell DC, Knight CA, Hockenberry J, Teplansky R, Hartman TJ. Beverage caffeine intakes in the U.S. *Food Chem. Toxicol*. 2014;63:136-142. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2013.10.042
17. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Key elements of healthy eating patterns. A closer look inside healthy eating. <https://health.gov/our-work/food-nutrition/2015-2020-dietary-guidelines/guidelines/chapter-1/a-closer-look-inside-healthy-eating-patterns/#callout-caffeine>. Accessed February 2, 2020.
18. Nieber K. The impact of coffee on health. *Planta Med*. 2017;83:1256-1263. doi: 10.1055/s-0043-115007
19. Weng X, Odouli R, Li D. Maternal caffeine consumption during pregnancy and the risk of miscarriage: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198:279e.1-279.e8. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2007.10.03
20. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Moderate caffeine consumption during pregnancy. Committee Opinion No. 462. *ACOG Comm Opin*. 2010;116:467-468.
21. Restricting caffeine intake during pregnancy. World Health Organization Web site. <https://www.who.int/elena/titles/caffeine-pregnancy/en/>. Accessed February 2, 2020.
22. Voerman E, Jaddoe VWW, Gishti O, Hofman A, Franco OH, Gaillard R. Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy, early growth, and body fat distribution at school age. *Obesity*. 2016;24(5):1170-1177. doi:10.1002/oby.21466
23. Ong KKL, Ahmed ML, Emmett PM, Preece MA, Dunger DB, et al. Association between postnatal catch-up growth and obesity in childhood: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2000;320:97-971.
24. Li DK, Ferber JR, Odouli R. Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and risk of obesity in offspring: a prospective cohort study. *Int J Obes*. 2015;39:658-664. doi:10.1038/ijo.2014.196
25. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic review and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7): e1000100. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100
26. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Score (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in a meta-analysis. The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute Web site. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/

- oxford.asp. Accessed March 23, 2020.
27. Barr HM, Streissguth AP. Caffeine use during pregnancy and child outcome: A 7-Year prospective study. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 1991;13(4):441-448.
 28. Klebanoff MA, Keim SA. Maternal serum paraxanthine during pregnancy and offspring body mass index at ages 4 and 7 years. *Epidemiology.* 2015;26(2):185-191. doi:10.1097/EDE.0000000000000220
 29. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. Study quality assessment tools. <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools>. Accessed March 23, 2020.
 30. Klebanoff MA, Levine RJ, Dersimonian R, Clemens JD, Wilkins DG. Serum caffeine and paraxanthine as markers for reported caffeine intake in pregnancy. *Ann Epidemiol* 2008;8:107-111.
 31. Grosso LM, Triche E, Benowitz NL, Bracken MB. Prenatal caffeine assessment: Fetal and maternal biomarkers or self-reported intake? *Ann Epidemiol.* 2008;18:172-178. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2007.11.005
 32. Coates J, Colaiezzi B, Fiedler J, Wirth J, Lividini K, Rogers B. No. 4: Applying Dietary Assessment Methods for Food Fortification and Other Nutrition Programs; Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) Tufts University, HarvestPlus/International Food Policy Research Institute. Program and project documents. Geneva, Switzerland, 2012. <https://www.gainhealth.org/resources/reports-and-publications/applying-dietary-assessment-methods-food-fortification-and-other>. Accessed February 5, 2020.
 33. Nuttal FQ. Body mass index. *Nutr Today.* 2015;50(3):117-128. doi:10.109/NT.0000000000000092
 34. Yu T, Campbell SC, Stockmann C, et al. Pregnancy-induced changes in the pharmacokinetics of caffeine and its metabolites. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2016;56(5):590-596. doi: 10.1002/jcph.632
 35. Goldstein A, Warren R. Passage of caffeine into human gonadal and fetal tissues. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1962;11:166-168.
 36. Xu D, Wu Y, Liu YS, et al. A hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis-associated neuroendocrine metabolic programmed alteration in offspring rats of IUGR induced by prenatal caffeine ingestion. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 2012;264:395-403. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2012.08.016
 37. Xu D, Zhang B, Liang G, et al. Caffeine-induced activated glucocorticoid metabolism in the hippocampus causes hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal axis inhibition in fetal rats. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(9):e44497. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044497
 38. Hoyt AT, Browne M, Richardson S, Romitti P, Druschel C. Maternal caffeine consumption and small for gestational age births: Results from a population-based case-control study. *Matern Child Health J.* 2014;18(6):1540-1551. doi: 10.1007/s10995-013-1397-4
 39. Sengpiel V, Elind E, Bacelis J, et al. Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy is association with birth weight but not with gestational length: results from a large prospective observational cohort study. *BMC Med.* 2013;11:42. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-42
 40. Tan Y, Liu J, Deng Y, et al. Caffeine-induced fetal rat over-exposure to maternal glucocorticoid and histone methylation of liver IGF-I might cause skeletal growth retardation. *Toxicol Lett.* 2012;214:279-287.
 41. Pemathilaka RL, Caplin JD, Aykar SS, Montazami R, Hashemi NN. Placenta-on-a-chip: In vitro study of caffeine transport across placental barrier using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. *Glob Chall.* 2019;3:1800112. doi: 10.1002/gch2.20180011